DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

Date: September 12, 2003

Meeting No. 46

ATTENDEES: Pamela Burton
Edward Collins
Sarah Fenstemaker
Marc Fisher
Judith Green
Bob Haller
Joel Michaelsen
Carol Pasternack
Barton Phelps
Ric Williams
Buzz Yudell

OTHERS PRESENT
Michael Bade
Willie Brown
Catherine Cole
Paul Gawronik
David Gonzales
Chuck Haines
Shari Hammond
David Inouye
Bonnie Kelm
Ilze Landfried

Martie Levy
Brent Miller
Barton Myers
Glen Nordeff
George Pernsteiner
Tom Roberts
Tye Simpson
Jon Spaventa
Dennis Whelan
Walter Yuen

ITEM DESCRIPTION

1. Design Approval: Sculpture at Art Museum - Fuss & Feathers
   - The sculpture, by Wendy Lehman, a gift from Jean Thayer, will be installed in January.
   - There are funds promised for its installation and maintenance
   - The site overlooking the Lagoon was approved
   - The project intent was approved.
   - The project will come back before the DRC with a sketch plan that will show:
     • Ramp access
     • Vines on the wall
     • New ground surface
     • Lighting
     • Removal of ficus trees
   • A competition for artist designed benches was proposed
2. **Design Approval: Entrance Kiosk at University Plaza**
   - After an explanation of how the proposed kiosk would work with new parking machines, the location at University Plaza was approved.
   - The design was approved unanimously with the following provisions:
     - Special paving will reflect the kiosks ‘corona’
     - Planting will be enhanced
     - A 4-way stop sign will be installed
     - Campus existing signage will be repainted to facilitate way-finding

3. **Progress Review: San Clemente Housing**
   - It was agreed that more time should be spent with the project before it goes to the Regents for review.
   - It is important to understand the ventilation shafts/towers. They should be modeled.
   - Greater variety and complexity in massing is desired but the moves toward this should be done judiciously so that they achieve maximum effect and the project is still efficient from a cost standpoint. Variety in roofline would be good.
   - More openness toward the mountains
   - Ambiguity of indoors and outdoors needs to be resolved
   - There is a possibility of shifting the grid for variety
   - Hierarchical aspect of the plan is important for wayfinding
   - How shared spaces and ‘paseo’ space will be used needs to be explored.
   - There should be a landscape connection to the street
   - Stairs should provide more than just fire exiting – should be welcoming.
   - Project is heading in the right direction. Work on relentless quality of the north elevation to make it more friendly and inviting.
   - Project was unanimously approved for concept and massing and will come back to DRC for design approval in January or February.

4. **Review of DRC By-Laws, Subcommittees and Operations**
   - Please see attached by-law draft for changes suggested at the meeting
   - Landscape subcommittee will be convened when the DRC deems it is needed. Jon Cook will regularly review landscape plans from a maintenance standpoint.
   - A Housing Master Plan will be developed by UDA. It is to be wrapped up by the end of the academic year,
   - A Infrastructure Master Plan is being developed through Facilities Management
   - Since a campus architectural identity or ‘vision’ is an issue that will need to be addressed when the Housing Plan is presented to the Regents in December, the campus must start working on a way to reinterpret the architecture of the city of Santa Barbara in the context of the campus.
   - It is important for the campus to develop Architectural and Landscape Guidelines that indicate how the campus will proceed. See attached table for summary of discussion.
   - It was suggested that the design professionals put in writing the essential characteristics that could be the basis for guidelines.
   - It was suggested that sample boards for materials be brought in for the DRC to start looking for a campus palette or palettes.
• A beautification plan should be done to show donors what could be done. A successful beautification project such as the Library Mall or the area between Campbell and Cheadle is needed.

• The campus could put on a symposium or a series of lectures and presentations on architecture, possibly with Architectural Foundation assistance.

Minutes were prepared by
Ilze Landfried

Date: 12/3/03

Cc: Committee and Attendees
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ARCHITECTURE</strong></th>
<th><strong>LANDSCAPE</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Style as characteristics</td>
<td>Maintain views of mountains and ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale and sense of entry – enhanced entries</td>
<td>Connect campus to ocean and mountains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcades and courtyards</td>
<td>Architecture of ‘outside’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power of arcades, porticos, trellises in making links</td>
<td>Surprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local context</td>
<td>Events in arcades and courts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection to inner courtyards</td>
<td>Collection of gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thematic landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale – connection to exterior spaces and larger</td>
<td>Hardscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>landscape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massing</td>
<td>Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows-fenestration</td>
<td>Hierarchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians</td>
<td>Paseo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture of ‘wall’- primacy of the wall, tautness</td>
<td>Landscape ‘corrections’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of wall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Character defining landscape in courtyards, hardscape, furniture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmonious connections</td>
<td>Rooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stairs express movement</td>
<td>Water features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Green’ architecture</td>
<td>Distinctive hardscape for neighborhoods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>