DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

Date: January 17, 2003

Meeting No. 42

ATTENDEES:  Pamela Burton  
Edward Collins  
Sarah Fensternaker  
Marc Fisher  
Bob Haller  
Elvin Hatch  
Alexis Krieg  
Carol Pasternack  
Barton Phelps  
Ric Williams  
Buzz Yudell

ABSENT:  Colin Gardner  
Judith Green  
Joel Michaelsen

OTHERS  
Michael Bade  
Barry Berkus  
Ed Blaschke  
Jim Blascovich  
Frank Castanha  
Greg Christman  
Jon Cook  
Julie Cunningham  
Steve Eggemeyer  
Paul Gawronik  
Jamie Goldstein  
David Gonzales  
Shari Hammond  
Ed Johnduff  
Chris King  
W. D. King  
Everett Kirkele

PRESENT:  David Inouye  
Chris LaVino  
Ilze Landfried  
Martie Levy  
David Martin  
Stuart McDaniell  
Jim Moore  
Jennifer Metz  
Melba Ortiz  
Mark Nocciolo  
Lynne Pritchard  
Tye Simpson  
Ron Strahl  
Fred Sweeney  
Thea VanRensburg  
Dennis Whelan  
Jack Wolever

ITEM DESCRIPTION

1. Design Approval: Snidecor Office Wing Seismic Replacement
   - A.C. Martin has worked with the charette process and refined the building based upon that process. They have added a classroom and developed a design that is evolving well.
   - The pattern of the light and dark blocks is successful and Sasaki will be informed about the use of block on this building so they may consider it for SRB.
   - The committee likes the concrete garden wall and feels it is a very important design element. Its design needs to evolve further and A.C. Martin will study it to determine whether it needs to be lower, whether it opens up or has penetrations, and its termination at the west end.
• The courtyard is an important part of the project and a landscape plan is needed. A master plan is needed for presentation to the Regents.
• There may be some problem with using copper cladding because OP and the Legislative Analysts Office consider it a rich material. However, the price may be low enough for us to build an argument for using it.
• The architects should study enlarging the north/south corridor space.
• The courtyard seems to be working well and the committee likes the idea of a flexible space with a wide variety of uses.
• Architects should study bringing natural light into the studio spaces; whether by skylights or windows and should address how the spaces would be darkened and light controlled. Translucent doors with curtains were suggested.

ACTION: Schematic design is approved with modifications discussed.

2. Site and Concept Design: Alumni House
• The committee agreed that the site at intersection of Mesa Road and University Plaza is better than the more southerly site. It was pleased that Barry Berkus could make the site work.
• Committee agreed that the forms and materials should be modern and that the architects should make it a piece of sculpture.
• Arts & Lectures Department will be consulted regarding separating the ticket booth from the main building.
• Committee looks forward to seeing the schematic design.

ACTION: Approved for massing and concept.

3. Design Update: Psychology Building Addition
• Update on status of project is being done after value engineering and at the time project is being prepared for review by the Office of the President (a change from review by Regents).
• This will be the first building that will go through under the new review procedure.
• It was decided that the curved parapet ("swish") should be removed.
• The "green piece," on an earlier elevation was characterized as a vertical anchoring element that marks the cross axis and strengthens the orthogonal grid and it was decided this element should be put back in the project.
• The cant of the addition should be kept.

4. Update: Master Planning
• DRC will send a memo to thank the Chancellor for the funding.
• The campus vision presentation to the Regents will be in August
• There will probably be a steering committee which will include Elvin Hatch and Marc Fisher. Strong involvement with the DRC is expected.

5. Update: Isla Vista Master Plan
• Jamie Goldstein presented the history and objectives of the I.V. master planning effort that is being done primarily to improve of the quality of life in I.V.
- He outlined some of the issues being studied regarding the interface of I.V. and the campus including the Pardall axis, the extension of the I.V. street grid to Ocean road, housing along Ocean Road, a bus transit gate, a parking structure, the Student Resources Building and San Clemente Housing project.
- He discussed various plans for the business district and the possible use of roundabouts on El Colegio Road.
- He expects a working draft to be completed this spring. It will go to the supervisors in the summer with environmental review in the fall of '03 and County Planning Commission Hearings in the spring of '04.
- The I.V. business community has been the biggest detractor and has been skeptical of the County and of UCSB but is now beginning to join in the conversation. Eminent domain will probably not be invoked and incentives will be offered to get businesses and developers to build according to the design guidelines that will be developed by the master planning effort.

Minutes were prepared by:
Ilze Landfried

cc: Committee and Attendees
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